Αλλες χρόνιες προοδευτικά εξελισσόμενες ινοποιές διάμεσες Πνευμονοπάθειες

Assoc. Professor Katerina Antoniou



ØD

ERS ILD Assembly Secretary

Department of Respiratory Medicine and Laboratory of Cellular & Molecular Pneumonology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Crete, Heraklion



The "progressive fibrotic phenotype" is here to stay



Wells AU, et al. *Eur Respir J.* 2018;17:pii1800692

CTD-ILD, Connective tissue disease associated with interstitial lung disease; f-NSIP, fibrotic non-specific interstitial pneumonia; HP, hypersensitivity pneumonitis; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

Clinical case (#1)

- 49-year-old female, non-smoker
- Familial ILD, no mutation identified
- Unclassifiable ILD with autoimmune features (IPAF)
- Pathology: possible UIP with diffuse lymphoplasmacytic infiltration (without lymphoid follicles)
- Treatment: prednisone





Date	FVC	DLco
Year 1	2.40 (77%)	53%
Year 2	2.37 (77%)	48%
Year 3	1.66 (54%)	39%

Clinical case (#2)

- 44-year-old male at diagnosis (2008)
- Ex-smoker
- No medical history, exposure, or autoimmunity
- VATS biospy: fibrosing NSIP
- Received long-term oral prednisone and immunosuppressants



Limitations of the current approach

Although corticosteroids and immunosuppressants have traditionally been used to treat fibrosing ILDs, other than in patients with SSc-ILD, they don't always reduce the progression of disease

Fibrotic Interstitial Lung Diseases



Fibrotic interstitial lung diseases

g/f, genetic/familial. Cottin V, et al. Eur Respir Rev 2019 (in press).

Unclassifiable ILD





Ryerson CJ, et al. Eur Respir J 2013;42:750-7. Hyldgaard C, et al. Respirology 2017;22:494-500.

Interstitial Pneumonia with Autoimmune Features_ IPAF



40-year-old woman with clinical features of CTD and ANA at 1:640

Surgical biopsy: NSIP with OP, lymphoid follicles with germinal centres



NSIP: etiology and progressive phenotype determine prognosis



61-year-old woman, idiopathic NSIP



Progressive type defined by relative ≥5%/year decline in the slope of FVC and/or relative ≥7.5%/year decline in the slope of DLco

Chronic fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis



Systemic sclerosis-associated ILD (SSc-ILD): long-term mortality





SSc-ILD: prognostic significance of decline in lung function



Goh NS, et al. Arthritis Rheum 2017;69:1670-8.

RA-ILD: determinants of prognosis

- HRCT pattern predicts mortality
- Decline in lung function predicts mortality:
 - 10% decline in FVC % predicted at any time after baseline: HR=1.86 (1.26-2.75)



Honeycombing on HRCT predicts a progressive phenotype across a wide range of ILDs



Predictors of disease progression in clinical practice



- Demographics, disease phenotype, biomarkers, etc (depending on underlying disease)*
- Extensive fibrosis on imaging
- UIP pattern/honeycombing
- Lower pulmonary reserve (FVC and DLco)

*Example in SSc-ILD: male sex, African-American origin, diffuse cutaneous SSc, anti-ScI70 antibodies.

Indicators of disease progression in clinical practice



- Progressive symptoms
- Progressive decline in physiology
- Progressive fibrosis on imaging
- Progression despite first-choice therapy
- Acute exacerbation/respiratory hospitalisation





- A lumper searches for the big picture
- Ex: concept of PF-ILD, disease behaviour, shared pathogenetic pathways between IPF and non-IPF fibrotic diseases

- A splitter prefers to make distinction from observed details and scientific data
 - Ex: genetic testing, precision medicine



•

Fibrosis: ultimate and proximate causes

Victor J. Thannickal,¹ Yong Zhou,¹ Amit Gaggar,¹ and Steven R. Duncan²



Thannickal VJ, et al. J Clin Invest 2014;124:4673-7.

Shared pathogenetic mechanisms common to IPF and other progressive fibrotic ILDs

Shared mechanism	Diseases
Shared genetic predilection	IPF and RA-ILD
Similar links between short telomere lengths and mortality	IPF and CHP
Linkage between alveolar epithelial cell dysfunction/injury and pulmonary function decline	IPF and SSc-ILD
Pathobiological mechanisms likely to contribute to disease progression: alveolar stem cell exhaustion/cellular senescence, mitochondrial dysfunction, impaired autophagy, epigenetic modifications, and immune dysregulation	IPF and SSc-ILD



Nintedanib reduces pulmonary fibrosis in a model of RA-ILD (female SKG mice with arthritis induced by intraperitoneal injection of zymosan)



Redente E, et al. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2018;314:L998-1009.



Fibrotic interstitial lung diseases

g/f, genetic/familial. Cottin V, et al. Eur Respir Rev 2019 (in press).

Real world data Greek cohort



open

research

ORIGINAL ARTICLE INTERSTITIAL LUNG DISEASE

Efficacy and safety of nintedanib in a Greek multicentre idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis registry: a retrospective, observational, cohort study

Katerina Antoniou^{1,7}, Katerina Markopoulou^{2,7}, Argyrios Tzouvelekis^{3,7}, Athina Trachalaki ^{1,7}, Eirini Vasarmidi^{1,7}, Jiannis Organtzis^{4,7}, Vasilios Tzilas³, Evangelos Bouros³, Georgia Kounti², Christina Rampiadou², Serafeim-Chrysovalantis Kotoulas ², Fotini Bardaka⁵, Eleni Bibaki⁶, Evangelia Fouka⁴, Georgios Meletis⁶, Stavros Tryfon², Zoe Daniil⁵, Despina Papakosta⁴ and Demosthenes Bouros ³



Efficacy and safety of nintedanib in a Greek multicentre idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis registry: a retrospective, observational, cohort study

Table E1. Baseline characteristics of the ITT population.

n=244 patients

Number of patients	244
Age, years	71.8±7.5
Sex, n (%)	
Male	193 (79.1)
Female	51 (20.9)
Smoking status, n (%)	
Never smoked	53 (21.9)
Ex-smokers	81 (33.1)
Current smokers	110 (45.1)
Pack-years	52±34.5
HRCT pattern (probable/definite UIP), n (%)	186 (76.4)
CPFE, n (%)	22 (9.0)
Advanced disease (DLco pred ≤35% or FVC pred ≤50%), n (%)	107 (43.8)
FEV ₁ % pred	78.8±20.2
FVC% pred	73.3±20.7
TLC%	64.9±16.5
DLco% pred	42.6±16.7
Prior pirfenidone treatment, n. (%)	29 (11.8)

Table 2. Investigator-reported AEs in the safety population (n=244).

	Number of AEs	Proportion of patients with AE
Total AEs reported	224	55.7%
GI events	173	-
Diarrhoea	110	45.0%
Nausea/vomiting	26	10.7%
Anorexia	18	7.4%
Abdominal pain	11	4.5%
Dyspepsia/bloating	6	2.5%
GI bleeding	2	0.8%
Reduced body weight	16	6.6%
Liver function test elevations	12	4.9%
Weakness	11	4.5%
Ischaemic events ^a	9	2.9%
Hyperpyrexia	1	0.4%
Others	4	1.6%
Reduced dose due to AE	60	28.3%
Discontinuation due to AE	32	13.1%

^aIschaemic events defined as my condial infarction or ischaemic stroke.

Functional effects irrespective of disease severity



Antoniou et al. ERJ Open Res 2019

Kolb et al. Thorax 2017;72:340-46

An estimated 18–32% of patients with non-IPF ILDs will develop a progressive fibrosing phenotype



Data from online survey of 243 pulmonologists, 203 rheumatologists, and 40 internists from the US, Japan, Germany, France, Italy, Spain, and the UK



Current treatment of fibrosing ILDs other than IPF



Online survey of physicians. *Based on responses from 243 pulmonologists. *Based on responses from 445 pulmonologists and rheumatologists. Kreuter M, et al. Presented at ATS International Conference, 18–23 May 2018, San Diego, CA, USA.

INBUILD trial design

Do we have data that inform us when the progressive fibrotic phenotype is more likely?

Yes: UIP-like disease, more extensive lung involvement

Can the progressive fibrotic phenotype be reliably identified at baseline *in individual patients*?

What longitudinal data exist across non-IPF disorders justifying the identification of the progressive fibrotic phenotype based on observed progression despite treatment? Serial FVC decline consistently predicts mortality

INBUILD trial design



*Randomisation was stratified by HRCT pattern (UIP-like fibrotic pattern only or other fibrotic patterns) based on central review. [†]Visits to occur every 16 weeks until end of treatment. bid, twice daily; R, randomisation; UIP, usual interstitial pneumonia.

Key inclusion criteria

- Age ≥18 years
- Physician-diagnosed ILD other than IPF
- Features of diffuse fibrosing lung disease (reticular abnormality with traction bronchiectasis, with or without honeycombing) of >10% extent on HRCT, confirmed by central review
- FVC ≥45% predicted
- DLco ≥30%–<80% predicted

DLco, diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide; FVC, forced vital capacity; HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography

Inclusion criteria re: progressive phenotype

Patients were required to meet ≥ 1 of the following criteria for ILD progression in the 24 months before screening, despite management:

- Relative decline in FVC ≥10% predicted
- Relative decline in FVC ≥5–<10% predicted and worsened respiratory symptoms
- Relative decline in FVC ≥5–<10% predicted and increased extent of fibrosis on HRCT
- Worsened respiratory symptoms and increased extent of fibrosis on HRCT

Key exclusion criteria

- Diagnosis of IPF
- FEV₁/FVC <0.7 (pre-bronchodilator)
- Alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, or bilirubin >1.5 x upper limit of normal
- Bleeding risk, e.g. full-dose therapeutic anticoagulation or high-dose antiplatelet therapy
- Significant pulmonary arterial hypertension*

*Previous clinical or echocardiographic evidence of significant right heart failure, history of right heart catheterisation showing a cardiac index <2 L/min/m² or pulmonary arterial hypertension requiring parenteral therapy with epoprostenol or treprostinil.

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second

Primary endpoint

- The primary endpoint was the annual rate of decline in FVC (mL/year) assessed over 52 weeks
- There were two co-primary analysis populations:



Main secondary endpoints

- Absolute change from baseline in K-BILD questionnaire total score at week 52
- Time to first acute exacerbation of ILD or death over 52 weeks
- Time to death over 52 weeks

Disposition of overall population over 52 weeks



INBUILD: Baseline characteristics of overall population

	Nintedanib (n=332)	Placebo (n=331)
Age, years, mean (SD)	65.2 (9.7)	66.3 (9.8)
Male, n (%)	179 (53.9)	177 (53.5)
Current or former smoker, n (%)	169 (50.9)	169 (51.1)
UIP-like fibrotic pattern on HRCT, n (%)	206 (62.0)	206 (62.2)
FVC, mL, mean (SD)	2340 (740)	2321 (728)
FVC, % predicted, mean (SD)	68.7 (16.0)	69.3 (15.2)
DLco, % predicted, mean (SD)*	44.4 (11.9)	47.9 (15.0)
K-BILD questionnaire total score, mean (SD)	52.5 (11.0)	52.3 (9.8)

*Corrected for haemoglobin. Not all patients provided data for all variables. Flaherty KR, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1718–1727

INBUILD: Clinical ILD diagnoses



Data are % of patients. *Included rheumatoid arthritis-associated ILD, systemic sclerosis-associated ILD, mixed connective tissue disease-ILD, and selected other terms in "Other fibrosing ILDs". [†]Included sarcoidosis, exposure-related ILDs and selected other terms in "Other fibrosing ILDs". IIP, idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. Flaherty KR, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1718–1727

INBUILD: Annual rate of decline in FVC (mL/year) over 52 weeks



INBUILD: Change from baseline in FVC (mL) over 52 weeks



Absolute change from baseline in K-BILD at week 52 Overall population



Time to first acute exacerbation of ILD or death (up to first database lock) Overall population



Time to death in overall population



Most frequently reported adverse events in overall population

	Nintedanib (n=332)	Placebo (n=331)
Diarrhoea	222 (66.9)	79 (23.9)
Nausea	96 (28.9)	31 (9.4)
Bronchitis	41 (12.3)	47 (14.2)
Nasopharyngitis	44 (13.3)	40 (12.1)
Dyspnoea	36 (10.8)	44 (13.3)
Vomiting	61 (18.4)	17 (5.1)
Cough	33 (9.9)	44 (13.3)
Decreased appetite	48 (14.5)	17 (5.1)
Headache	35 (10.5)	23 (6.9)

Data are n (%) of patients with \geq 1 such adverse event reported over 52 weeks (or until 28 days after last trial drug intake for patients who discontinued trial drug before week 52). Adverse events based on MedDRA preferred terms that were reported in >10% of patients in either treatment group are shown.

Most frequently reported adverse events in overall population

	Nintedanib (n=332)	Placebo (n=331)
Alanine aminotransferase increased	43 (13.0)	12 (3.6)
Progression of ILD*	16 (4.8)	39 (11.8)
Weight decreased	41 (12.3)	11 (3.3)
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	38 (11.4)	12 (3.6)
Abdominal pain	34 (10.2)	8 (2.4)

Data are n (%) of patients with ≥ 1 such adverse event reported over 52 weeks (or until 28 days after last trial drug intake for patients who discontinued trial drug before week 52). Adverse events based on MedDRA preferred terms that were reported in >10% of patients in either treatment group are shown. *"Progression of ILD" was based on the MedDRA preferred term "interstitial lung disease".

Pirfenidone in patients with unclassifiable progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial

Mean (95% CI)

Median (Q1–Q3)

Rank analysis of covariance

Patients with >5% decline in FVC

Lancet Respir Med 2019

Published **Online** September 29, 2019 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-2600(19)30341-8

p value*

0.002

0.038

0.001

••

Pirfenidone vs placebo

95.3 (35.9 to 154.6)

0.42 (0.25 to 0.69)§

118.3

Toby M Maher, Tamera J Corte, Aryeh Fischer, Michael Kreuter, David J Lederer, Maria Molina-Molina, Judit Axmann, Klaus-Uwe Kirchgaessler, Katerina Samara, Frank Gilberg, Vincent Cottin

Predicted FVC change from baseline measured by site spirometry, mL

FVC change from baseline measured by site spirometry, % predicted

Pirfenidone (n=127)

-17.8 (-62.6 to 27.0)

47 (37%)

-7.5(-185.4 to 112.3)

Benefit of 95 ml 36000. 32000 Median predicted change in FVC at week 24 (mL) 20000 0 16000 12000 8000. 0 4000 0 -4000 0 0 -8000 -Pirfenidone group Placebo group

Patients with >10% decline in FVC	18 (14%)	34 (27%)	0·44 (0·23 to 0·84)§	0.011
DLco change from baseline, % predicted				
Rank analysis of covariance				0.09
Patients with >15% decline in DLco \P	3 (2%)	11 (9%)	0·25 (0·07 to 0·93)§	0.039
6MWD change from baseline, m				
Rank analysis of covariance				0.040
Patients with >50 m decline in 6MWD¶	36 (28%)	35 (28%)	1.03 (0.59 to 1.78)§	0.92
Data are n (%), unless otherwise specified. FVC=forced vital capacity. DLco=carbon monoxide diffusing capacity. 6MWD=6-min walk distance. *p values for secondary endpoints are not adjusted for multiplicity and are provided for descriptive purposes only. †n=118; only patients with a baseline measurement and at least two post-baseline measurements were included in the analysis. ‡n=119; only patients with a baseline measurement and at least two post-baseline measurements were included in the analysis. ‡n=119; only patients with a baseline measurement and at least two post-baseline measurements were included in the analysis. \$0dds ratio (95% CI). ¶Prespecified exploratory outcome.				

Placebo (n=126)

•••

74 (59%)

-113.0 \ddagger (-152.5 to -73.6)

-125.8 (-238.2 to 2.2)

Not so progressive.....1/3 FVC>10%, 1/10 DLCO>15%

Predicted FVC change from baseline measured by site spirometry, mL					
Mean (95% CI)	-17·8† (-62·6 to 27·0)	-113·0‡ (-152·5 to -73·6)	95·3 (35·9 to 154·6)	0.002	
Median (Q1–Q3)	-7·5 (-185·4 to 112·3)	-125·8 (-238·2 to 2·2)	118.3		
FVC change from baseline measured by site	spirometry, % predicted				
Rank analysis of covariance				0.038	
Patients with >5% decline in FVC	47 (37%)	74 (59%)	0·42 (0·25 to 0·69)§	0.001	
Patients with >10% decline in FVC	18 (14%)	34 (27%)	0·44 (0·23 to 0·84)§	0.011	
DLco change from baseline, % predicted					
Rank analysis of covariance				0.09	
Patients with >15% decline in DLco \P	3 (2%)	11 (9%)	0·25 (0·07 to 0·93)§	0.039	
6MWD change from baseline, m					
Rank analysis of covariance				0.040	
Patients with >50 m decline in $6MWD\P$	36 (28%)	35 (28%)	1.03 (0.59 to 1.78)§	0.92	

Data are n (%), unless otherwise specified. FVC=forced vital capacity. DLco=carbon monoxide diffusing capacity. 6MWD=6-min walk distance. *p values for secondary endpoints are not adjusted for multiplicity and are provided for descriptive purposes only. †n=118; only patients with a baseline measurement and at least two post-baseline measurements were included in the analysis. ‡n=119; only patients with a baseline measurement and at least two post-baseline measurements were included in the analysis. ‡n=119; only patients with a baseline measurement and at least two post-baseline measurements were included in the analysis. ‡n=119; only patients with a baseline measurement and at least two post-baseline measurements were included in the analysis. \$Odds ratio (95% CI). ¶Prespecified exploratory outcome.

Table 2: Secondary and prespecified exploratory outcomes at week 24 in the intention-to-treat population (n=253)

SENSCIS: Annual rate of decline in FVC (mL/yr) over 52 weeks (primary endpoint)



SENSCIS and INPULSIS: Annual rate of decline in FVC (mL/yr)

SENSCIS

INPULSIS





COMMENT

A role of antifibrotics in the treatment of Scleroderma-ILD?

Katerina M. Antoniou^{a,*}, Athina Trachalaki^a, Argyris Tzouvelekis^b, Venerino Poletti^{c,d}, Eirini Vasarmidi^a, Petros Sfikakis^e, Demosthenes Bouros^b

^a Department of Respiratory Medicine, Medical School, University of Crete, Heraklion, Greece ^b Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, First Academic Department of Pneumonology, Interstit

Effect of anti-IL6-Tocilizumab in SSc-ILD The "focuSSced" Trial



hypertension

AR Chairs : Katrin Esther Hostettler,Martin Kolb,Michael Kreuter

focuSSced Was a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Phase 3 Trial of Tocilizumab in Patients With SSc



No change in mRS Benefit of 238 ml HRCT benefit



Christopher P. Denton Lung function preservation in a phase 3 trial of tocilizumab (TCZ) in systemic sclerosis (SSc)

a ALERT: Abstracts Leading to Evolution in Respiratory Medicine Trials: Interstitial lung diseases and hypertension

Real Chairs : Katrin Esther Hostettler, Martin Kolb, Michael Kreuter

Conclusions

(iii) ERS

- · The primary end point was not met
 - No statistically significant difference in change from baseline to week 48 in mRSS between TCZ and PBO
- FVC results (secondary end point) were clinically meaningful
- Replicated in faSScinate (phase 2) and focuSSced (phase 3)
- Pulmonary function was preserved during 48 weeks of TCZ treatment compared with PBO
- Proportion of patients with FVC decline >10% was lower with TCZ treatment than with PBO
 HRCT data (exploration) and point) support 51/C assume.
- Time to treatment failure (secondary end point): hazard ratio in favor of TCZ
- Clinical outcome assessments (secondary end points: HAQ-DI, patient VAS, clinician VAS) did not show any significant treatment effects
 No new safety signals were identified

Note: the entire presentation will be made evailable to qualified providers on medically roche.com following oral presentation http://bit.lw?iOdLUM



Christopher P.

Lung function preserva phase 3 trial of tocilizu systemic sclerosis (SS

ote erscongress.org/#253 er



Key Secondary End Point: Clinically Meaningful Difference in Change From Baseline in %pFVC at Week 48





Christopher P. Denton Lung function preservation in a phase 3 trial of tocilizumab (TCZ) in systemic sclerosis (SSc)

To participate go to http://vote.erscongress.org/#283 or an the conseponding essaion in the App. Cuestions will be displayed automaticulus

Key messages

- A proportion of patients with fibrosing ILDs other than IPF develop a progressive phenotype
- There are commonalities between the progressive fibrosing ILDs and IPF regarding clinical behaviour, progressive loss of lung function, high mortality, as well as in the pathogenetic processes involved irrespective of etiology
- Patients with progressive lung fibrosis can be identified in clinical practice by adequate monitoring especially serial lung function

A GREAT THANK YOU !!!!!!!!!

